



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,350	03/10/2000	Hiroyuki Kino	36856.283	5246
759	90 10/23/2002			
Joseph R Keating Esquire			EXAMINER	
Keating & Bennett LLP 10400 Eaton Place Suite 312			KIM, PAUL D	
Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Advisory Action Application No. Applicant(s) Examiner Art Unit Paul D Kim 3729

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	PETERVO SUIDEDVISODY PATENT EYAMINED
10.	Other:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,10-16,18 and 20</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to: 7,9,17 and 19.
	Claim(s) allowed:
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
3. A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
2. 🛛 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>16 October 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or eat forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
Examir	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant argues that the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention. However, applicant amends the claimed invention raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.